

Weiner	Wise	Wu
Weygand	Woolsey	Wynn

NOT VOTING—18

Bishop	Evans	Owens
Bliley	Hilliard	Quinn
Borski	Houghton	Sandlin
Callahan	Lazio	Stark
Clay	Miller, George	Wexler
Cook	Myrick	Young (FL)

□ 1638

Mr. WOLF and Mr. LEACH changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. BISHOP. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 127, I was unavoidably detained and unable to be present for the vote. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."

Mr. SANDLIN. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 127 I inserted my card in the voting machine and voted "aye". The board was closing and the vote did not register. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

Stated against:

Mr. OWENS. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably absent on a matter of critical importance and missed the following vote:

On H.R. 4199, to terminate the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, introduced by the gentleman from Oklahoma, Mr. LARGENT, I would have voted "nay."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. EVANS. Mr. Speaker, I was regrettably detained this afternoon when the votes were taken on H.R. 4199. On the Motion to Recommend, I would have voted "yea." On final Passage, I would have voted "nay."

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has passed without amendment a concurrent resolution of the House of the following title:

H. Con. Res. 303. Concurrent resolution providing for a conditional adjournment of the House of Representatives and a conditional adjournment or recess of the Senate.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 1824

Ms. KILPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor of H.R. 1824.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

RURAL LOCAL BROADCAST SIGNAL ACT

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the order of the House of today, I call up the bill (H.R. 3615) to amend the Rural Electrification Act of

1936 to ensure improved access to the signals of local television stations by multichannel video providers to all households which desire such service in unserved and underserved rural areas by December 31, 2006, and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the amendment in the nature of a substitute considered as adopted to H.R. 3615 under the order of the House of earlier today be an amendment in the nature of a substitute that I have now placed at the desk which shall be considered as read.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

Mr. STENHOLM. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I understand that this version of the substitute has been changed in section 4 from the version of the substitute approved by the Committee on Rules.

Mr. Speaker, can the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE) please reassure me that cooperative lenders, such as CoBank and the National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation, are still eligible to participate in the loan program under this bill?

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. STENHOLM. I yield to the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, the gentleman is correct. CFC is specifically eligible to participate under the terms of the revised bill, and CoBank is an eligible participant for loans made in accordance with the regulations of the Federal Farm Credit Administration and its governing statute.

Mr. STENHOLM. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I thank the gentleman very much for that assurance.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased that these cooperative lenders are eligible to participate. Their demonstrated expertise, capacity, capital strength, and experience in providing financing to rural utility bars should help to make this program a success.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

□ 1645

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HASTINGS of Washington). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

Mr. LARGENT. Mr. Speaker, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

Pursuant to the order of the House of today, the bill is considered read for amendment.

The text of H.R. 3615 is as follows:

H.R. 3615

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Rural Local Broadcast Signal Act".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress makes the following findings:

(1) In 1936, most of the rural United States did not have access to electrical service enjoyed by the rest of the United States, and this lack of electrical service inhibited economic development in the rural areas of the United States.

(2) In response to this lack of service, Congress enacted the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (also known as the Norris-Rayburn Rural Electrification Act) which established the Rural Electric Administration to ensure that all Americans have access to electrical service and to promote rural development.

(3) The program under the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 has successfully brought electricity to all parts of the rural United States and has stimulated rural development throughout the United States.

(4) In 1949, most of the rural United States did not have access to telephone service enjoyed by the rest of the United States, and this lack of electrical service inhibited economic development in the rural areas of the United States.

(5) In response to this lack of service, Congress amended the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 to assure that the rural United States has access to telecommunications services, including telephone services, distance learning, and telemedicine in order to promote rural development.

(6) The programs under these amendments have successfully brought telecommunications to all parts of the United States and has stimulated rural development throughout the United States.

(7) Public Law 93-32 amended the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 to establish a revolving fund for insured and guaranteed loans.

(8) The reorganization of the Department of Agriculture by Public Law 103-354 created the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) within the Department of Agriculture and assigned it the responsibility for administering programs of federally-guaranteed loans.

(9) The Rural Utilities Service now manages a portfolio of federally-guaranteed loans in excess of \$42,000,000,000.

(10) The Rural Utilities Service has granted loans for the purpose of telecommunications services to more than 800 borrowers, including telephone and electricity cooperatives, in all States of the United States.

(11) Local television coverage is vitally important for rural development efforts.

(12) Local television programming broadcasts crop reports, local news, weather reports, public service announcements, and advertisements by local businesses, all of which are important for rural development.

(13) In today's age of modern communications, rural communities often receive the majority of their information from satellite platforms.

(14) The rest of the United States, including most of the rural United States, is not able to receive local television signals via satellite.

(15) Without access to local television signals, the development of the rural United States is greatly inhibited.

(16) Just as important public purposes were served by bringing electricity to the rural United States and then by bringing telephone service to the rural United States, so the United States would be served by ensuring that the rural United States can receive local television signals via satellite.